International Journal of Educational Qualitative Quantitative Research (IJE-QQR)
ISSN: 2962-9918 (Online)
Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 92-104

Digitizing the Canvas: Enhancing Early Childhood Fine Motor Skills through Touchscreen Finger Painting

Siti Mualifah[1], Aip Syarifudin[2], Abdul Muiz Rouf[3]
[1,2,3]Universitas Muhammadiyah Cirebon, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:
Received: Apr 5, 2026
Revised: June 12, 2026
Accepted: Jun 24, 2026

DOI: 10.58418/ijeqqr.v5i1.204

How to cite this article:
Mualifah, S., Syarifudin, A., & Rouf, A. M. (2026). Digitizing the Canvas: Enhancing Early Childhood Fine Motor Skills through Touchscreen Finger Painting. *International Journal of Educational Qualitative Quantitative Research*, *5*(1), 92–104. https://doi.org/10.58418/ijeqqr.v5i1.204

Read online:

Scan this QR code with your smart phone or mobile device to read online.

ABSTRACT

While empirical debates persist regarding the developmental impacts of screen time on early childhood, the pedagogical potential of touchscreen interfaces as positive motor stimulators remains under-explored. This study investigated the optimization of early childhood fine motor skills including finger flexibility, hand-eye coordination, and movement control through a structured touchscreen finger-painting intervention. Adopting a two-cycle action research framework, the intervention was deployed among 20 children aged 4 to 5 years. Data were gathered using a triangulated approach comprising systematic observation, practitioner interviews, and artifact documentation. Quantitative analysis revealed a significant developmental shift, where the percentage of children reaching high-level mastery of Developing as Expected and Very Well Developed increased from 65% in Cycle I to 80% in Cycle II. Beyond statistical gains, the qualitative insights demonstrated that the interactive haptic and visual feedback of the touchscreen interface significantly enhanced spatial control and visual-motor coordination. The primary contribution of this study lies in redefining digital interaction, demonstrating that touchscreen finger painting serves as an active pedagogical scaffolding tool rather than a medium for passive consumption.

Keywords: Fine Motor Skills, Early Childhood Education, Touchscreen Finger Painting, Haptic Interaction, Visual-Motor Integration

Corresponding Author:
Siti Mualifah
Universitas Muhammadiyah Cirebon, Indonesia
Email: sitimualifah819@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary digital era, the ubiquity of touchscreen devices has fundamentally altered the experiential landscape of early childhood education (C. Liu & Hwang, 2023; Samuelsson et al., 2022; Taheryan & Song, 2026). While substantial scholarly attention has focused on the cognitive and socio-emotional ramifications of device usage among young children (Alam et al., 2023; Panjeti-Madan & Ranganathan, 2023), the physiological impacts (Shanmugasundaram & Tamilarasu, 2023; Syarifudin & Muttaqin, 2025), particularly on manual dexterity (Operto et al., 2023), remain intensely debated (Clemente-Suárez et al., 2024). Priftis & Panagiotakos (2023) and Wang et al. (2024) argue that excessive screen time exacerbates sedentary behaviors and compromises physical development. However, this polarizing view often overlooks the potential of interactive touchscreens to serve as dynamic pedagogical tools. Rather than restricting digital interaction entirely, current educational research emphasizes the need to design meaningful digital activities that can actively scaffold physical growth (C.-H. Chen et al., 2023; T. Liu et al., 2025; Masoumi et al., 2024). Therefore, investigating how structured touchscreen activities can be leveraged for physical enhancement represents a critical inquiry in modern early childhood pedagogy.

Fine motor skills, which involve the precise coordination of small muscles in the fingers and hands in tandem with visual tracking, constitute a foundational cornerstone for early childhood autonomy (Shorouk et al., 2025; Viviani et al., 2025). These skills underpin essential daily practices and academic functions, including writing, drawing, cutting, and handling educational utensils (Lelong et al., 2021; Sutapa et al., 2021). Mastery of these micro-movements fosters self-confidence and independence, effectively preparing young learners for subsequent formal schooling environments. From a developmental standpoint, fine motor proficiency requires a sophisticated integration of haptic feedback, finger flexibility, and hand-eye coordination (J. Gao et al., 2024; Lo & Wang, 2024). When these components are insufficiently stimulated, children frequently experience long-term challenges in spatial control and manual precision, which can ultimately hinder their academic performance and practical life skills.

Pedagogues have traditionally utilized finger painting as a prominent sensory-motor intervention to address fine motor deficits (Marta & Shofwan, 2025). By applying pigments directly with their fingers onto physical surfaces, children naturally strengthen digital muscles, improve manual flexibility, and refine visual-motor integration through playful exploration (Lo & Wang, 2024). Despite its documented efficacy, conventional finger painting presents logistical limitations in modern classrooms, including significant preparation demands, clean-up constraints, and a lack of adaptive feedback for individual learners. According to Sepp et al. (2024), Shorouk et al. (2025) and Goizueta et al. (2025), the digitization through touchscreen applications offers an innovative alternative. Touchscreen finger painting preserves the core visual-motor dynamics of traditional art while introducing immediate digital feedback, high-contrast visual stimuli, and error-free modification options such as undo actions, which can significantly reduce frustration and optimize engagement during motor training.

Prior to conducting the main intervention, the researchers carried out a preliminary classroom observation to identify existing challenges in fine motor development among preschool children and to assess the utilization of digital learning media in classroom practice. The preliminary study was conducted in one early childhood education classroom involving a diagnostic cohort of 20 children aged 4–5 years. Classroom observations and teacher interviews revealed that, although touchscreen devices and digital applications were occasionally available for learning activities, their use was primarily directed toward entertainment or cognitive exercises rather than activities specifically designed to stimulate fine motor development. According to a literature study by C. Liu & Hwang (2023), this developmental lag is often exacerbated by conventional pedagogical approaches that fail to address the evolving digital habits of modern learners. A critical review of the literature reveals that while previous studies have thoroughly explored motor stimulation, significant research gaps remain. For instance, investigations by Wuang et al. (2022) focused exclusively on conventional tactile media. Similarly, studies by Nam et al. (2021) as well as Crandall & Karadoğan (2021) highlighted the benefits of creative play and innovative media but failed to isolate the specific haptic mechanisms of capacitive screens. Furthermore, even explorations such as those by Taherian Kalati & Kim (2022) and F. Wang et al. (2021) predominantly examined the cognitive or literacy outcomes of digital tools, leaving the precise physical-motor affordances of touchscreen art largely unaddressed. Consequently, there is a distinct lack of empirical evidence regarding how digital interactions systematically translate into manual dexterity.

To address this empirical and theoretical void, this study implemented a structured touchscreen finger-painting intervention within a two-cycle action research framework. The primary objective was to evaluate the efficacy of this digital intervention in enhancing finger flexibility, visual-motor coordination, and hand movement control among young children. The primary contribution of this research lies in its empirical bridge between digital play and physical development, redefining the role of mobile devices in early education. By proving that capacitive screen interactions can actively scaffold small muscle groups, this study shifts the academic paradigm away from viewing touchscreens as purely passive entertainment tools towards recognizing them as active instruments for physical pedagogy.

2. METHOD

This study employed an action-oriented pedagogical research design adapted from the classic cyclical framework, which integrates planning, action, observation, and reflection stages (Usman & Mahmud, 2024). The choice of this design was driven by the pragmatic need to systematically optimize the instructional environment and observe behavioral changes in real-time. Instead of treating technology as a passive distraction, this iterative framework allowed for the continuous refinement of digital artistic tasks based on the immediate physical limitations demonstrated by the children in each cycle.

The intervention was executed during the second semester of the 2024/2025 academic year within a suburban early childhood center located in the Indramayu Regency, Indonesia. The participant cohort comprised a purposeful sample of 20 young learners, consisting of children aged 4 to 5 years enrolled in Group A. Baseline diagnostics indicated that this cohort exhibited persistent developmental lags in physical dexterity, particularly regarding insufficient hand-eye coordination, unstable finger pressure control, and general spatial inaccuracy during manual tasks. Ethical protocols were strictly maintained, with formal institutional consent secured alongside written parental approvals prior to the commencement of the study.

The intervention discarded conventional paper-based pigments and utilized a digitised canvas environment. The hardware configuration consisted of 10.1-inch Android tablet computers featuring capacitive multi-touchscreen interfaces with a display resolution of 1920 by 1200 pixels. The physical interaction relied entirely on direct haptic engagement where children used their index fingers as the primary drawing instrument.

The software environment utilized a specialized digital painting application featuring high-contrast vector canvases, adjustable brush tracking speeds, and an immediate visual feedback loop. The instructional protocols were integrated into the daily lesson plans, locally recognized as Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran Harian. Each session lasted 30 minutes, structured into three distinct phases: a 5-minute teacher demonstration of the digital canvas, a 20-minute autonomous touchscreen finger painting activity, and a 5-minute reflection session where children interacted with the undo and redo functionalities to evaluate their errors.

To ensure methodological rigor, data collection involved a triangulated approach encompassing systematic observation, semi-structured practitioner interviews, and digital artifact documentation. Systematic observations were carried out continuously by the classroom teacher acting as the primary practitioner and an external observer utilizing standardized scoring rubrics. Semi-structured interviews were conducted at the end of each cycle with the early childhood educators to extract qualitative insights regarding student frustration levels and behavioral adaptation. Digital artifact documentation captured the progression of the children drawings by saving chronological screenshot sequences to analyze spatial boundary control over time.

The evaluation of manual dexterity was mapped across five core behavioral indicators derived from international early childhood physical development standards. Student performance was converted from the national early childhood grading tiers into a universal four-point ordinal rubric. The tiers were operationalized as Level 1 (Uninitiated/BB), Level 2 (Emerging/MB), Level 3 (Proficient/BSH), and Level 4 (Advanced/BSB). The structural breakdown of these parameters is detailed in Table 1. Digital dexterity adapted from Makhafola et al. (2025); Visual-motor integration adapted from Zhang et al. (2023); Haptic pressure regulation adapted from J. Chen et al. (2023); Kinesics accuracy adapted from Arifah et al. (2022); Spatial boundary control adapted from (Pérez-Fabello & Campos, 2023).

Table 1. Operational Matrix for Fine Motor Skill Assessment

No	Behavioral Indicator	Target Assessment Aspect
1	Digital Dexterity	The physical ability to execute isolated index finger movements on the capacitive screen surface.
2	Visual-Motor Integration	The capacity to coordinate visual tracking with active hand trajectories during digital drawing.
3	Haptic Pressure Regulation	The ability to sustain consistent finger contact and control sliding friction against the glass interface.
4	Kinesics Accuracy	The ability to follow geometric and structural drawing directions provided by the educator.
5	Spatial Boundary Control	The capacity to restrict finger painting movements within designated digital margins and shapes.

The collected data were scrutinized through a quantitative descriptive analysis technique. The statistical progression was determined by calculating the percentage of children who successfully attained the upper-tier developmental benchmarks, specifically the combined frequencies of the Proficient (Level 3) and Advanced (Level 4) categories within each action cycle. In line with international action research criteria, the pedagogical intervention was deemed highly successful if a minimum classical threshold of 75% of the participant cohort reached these top two performance tiers by the conclusion of the final cycle.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Baseline Diagnostic of Children Manual Dexterity

Prior to the implementation of the structured touchscreen intervention, a rigorous baseline diagnostic was conducted over a one-week observation period to evaluate the initial fine motor proficiency of the 20 participating children. This pre-intervention assessment was essential to map the cohort initial physical capabilities against the universal four-point grading scale established in the methodology. The initial diagnostic evaluations paint a clear picture of substantial developmental challenges, with a striking majority of the students failing to demonstrate independent manual stability during everyday classroom activities. When analyzed through the specific parameters of early childhood physical development, the descriptive data revealed that the cohort functioned almost entirely within the lower performance echelons, specifically Level 1 (Uninitiated) and Level 2 (Emerging).

To quantify this initial developmental status, a granular calculation of the baseline scores indicated that 70% of the children, representing 14 individuals, were classified at Level 1 (Uninitiated) regarding their overall manual control. The remaining 30% of the cohort, which equals 6 children, were hovering at Level 2 (Emerging), while zero participants achieved the higher competencies of Level 3 (Proficient) or Level 4 (Advanced). This skewness in data highlights a significant physical synchronization deficit across all five tracked behavioral indicators, creating an empirical justification for an immediate pedagogical intervention.

A qualitative breakdown of the specific behavioral indicators provides deeper insight into these physical limitations. In the domain of Haptic Pressure Regulation, the children exhibited two extreme, uncalibrated mechanical behaviors. One group of children applied excessive downward pressure when holding traditional drawing tools, which led to immediate muscular fatigue and regular tearing of the physical paper canvas. Conversely, another group of children demonstrated an unstable, hyper-flexed finger posture that generated faint, fragmented strokes due to an inability to maintain continuous surface contact. This structural instability directly undermined their performance in Spatial Boundary Control. During conventional coloring tasks, fewer than 30% of the participants could successfully restrict their hand trajectories within designated physical margins, resulting in significant overshooting and spatial disorganization.

Furthermore, the diagnostic phase revealed a pronounced disconnect in Visual-Motor Integration. When instructed to trace geometric profiles, the children frequently moved their hands without maintaining simultaneous visual tracking, causing a distinct loss of kinesics accuracy. The classroom educators noted that this physical lag was heavily reinforced by the existing educational media, which relied primarily on static worksheets and repetitive coloring tasks. These traditional materials failed to provide immediate feedback loops, meaning that when a child made a spatial error, there was no responsive mechanism to stimulate an immediate cognitive or physical self-correction. Consequently, the children easily lost focus, grew highly frustrated, and frequently abandoned their tasks before completion. These consolidated baseline diagnostics confirm that the children fine motor skills were severely underdeveloped, underlining an urgent requirement to replace conventional tools with an active pedagogical scaffolding system capable of providing dynamic, real-time physical calibration.

3.2. Quantitative Behavioral Progression Across Action Cycles

The systemic implementation of the touchscreen finger painting intervention yielded a progressive and measurable upward trajectory in the children manual dexterity over the two successive action cycles. The quantitative data gathered through systematic observation matrices demonstrated that the digital canvas successfully accelerated the physical adaptation of the participant cohort. To maintain analytical consistency, the success of the intervention was evaluated based on the number of children who successfully transitioned into the upper developmental tiers, which combined the frequencies of Level 3 (Proficient) and Level 4 (Advanced).

During Cycle I, the introduction of capacitive touchscreen tasks initiated a noticeable shift in how the children controlled their small muscle groups. The quantitative analysis from the first cycle revealed that 65% of the cohort, representing 13 out of the 20 participating children, successfully reached the combined mastery benchmarks of Proficient and Advanced. At this stage, the class mean score stabilized at 2.93, indicating that the majority of the students had moved away from the baseline limitations.

However, a critical evaluation of the remaining 35% of the cohort, which equals 7 children, uncovered persistent physical bottlenecks. These students remained at Level 2 (Emerging) due to an inability to regulate sliding friction against the glass surface, which frequently caused their fingers to overshoot the digital boundaries. This finding indicated that while the interactive digital media was highly engaging, a uniform instructional delivery was insufficient for children with lower initial coordination levels.

To address the specific motor deficits identified at the conclusion of the first cycle, targeted pedagogical modifications were introduced in Cycle II. The classroom practitioner minimized whole-class lectures and shifted toward individualized physical scaffolding, which included gentle hand-guided physical tracking for the 7 struggling children during the tablet sessions. Additionally, the digital drawings were modified to include high-contrast geometric borders to maximize visual guidance.

As a direct consequence of these instructional adjustments, Cycle II generated a significant empirical surge in manual coordination. The final quantitative assessment revealed that the proportion of children achieving high-level mastery increased to 80%, representing 16 out of the 20 participants. This statistical leap meant the cohort successfully surpassed the pre-established 75% classical success threshold. The remaining 4 children, representing 20% of the sample, advanced securely from Level 1 to Level 2, showing marked improvements in their task completion rates even though they did not reach full proficiency within the study timeline. This comprehensive progression confirms that the iterative action research framework allowed the technological tool to act as an adaptive physical scaffold, systematically transforming digital interface interaction into refined manual accuracy.

3.3. Granular Analysis of Fine Motor Indicators

To uncover the precise mechanical impacts of the technological interposition, the quantitative data were disaggregated into five discrete behavioral indicators. This granular approach isolates how specific dimensions of manual dexterity evolved from the initial baseline phase through the micro-developmental stages of Cycle I and Cycle II. Table 2 presents the longitudinal tracking of the cohort mean scores, which provides empirical evidence of the distinct developmental trajectories across the different motor domains.

Table 2. Longitudinal Evolution and Net Gains of Fine Motor Indicators

No	Behavioral Indicator	Baseline Mean	Cycle I Mean	Cycle II Mean	Net Gain
1	Digital Dexterity	1.85	2.80	3.55	+1.70
2	Visual-Motor Integration	2.00	2.95	3.60	+1.60
3	Haptic Pressure Regulation	1.50	2.65	3.30	+1.80
4	Kinesics Accuracy	2.10	3.00	3.45	+1.35
5	Spatial Boundary Control	1.60	2.75	3.40	+1.80

The empirical configuration illustrated in Table 2 reveals an asymmetrical growth pattern among the tracked physical competencies. The most prominent statistical acceleration was captured within two specific parameters, namely Haptic Pressure Regulation and Spatial Boundary Control, both of which registered an identical net gain of +1.80 points. Haptic Pressure Regulation rose from a critically low baseline mean of 1.50 to a highly proficient Cycle II mean of 3.30. Parallel to this, Spatial Boundary Control advanced from 1.60 to 3.40. This synchronous growth indicates that the frictionless properties of the capacitive glass interface coupled with the immediate visual containment of the vector software acted as an accelerated physical calibration mechanism, allowing the young learners to master perimeter awareness and surface contact simultaneously.

In contrast, Digital Dexterity and Visual-Motor Integration demonstrated steady, intermediate growth patterns. Digital Dexterity, which measures the children isolated index finger movements, achieved a substantial net gain of +1.70, moving from a baseline score of 1.85 to a terminal score of 3.55. Visual-Motor Integration followed closely with a net increase of +1.60, culminating in the highest absolute mean score of 3.60 at the end of Cycle II. These high terminal values prove that the continuous act of visual tracking synchronized with active hand trajectories on a luminous screen effectively stabilized the fundamental ocular-motor pathways of the children.

Conversely, Kinesics Accuracy yielded the lowest developmental net gain, recording an increase of only +1.35 points. Although this indicator started with the highest baseline mean of 2.10 and climbed to a respectable 3.45 in Cycle II, its slower rate of growth reveals an important pedagogical insight. While the interactive touchscreen interface excelled at reinforcing spontaneous physiological mechanics like sliding, tapping, and boundary adherence, it was less aggressive in accelerating the children cognitive ability to conform precisely to rigid geometric guidelines. This minor variation suggests that while digital finger painting heavily reinforces physical dexterity and visual integration, the mastery of structural shape replication remains highly dependent on external instructional guidance rather than the technological medium alone. The consolidated data in Table 2 confirm that the intervention successfully elevated all five operational indicators past the threshold of basic proficiency, proving the multi-dimensional efficacy of the digital canvas.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Haptic Affordances and The Mechanics of Touchscreen Scaffolding

The primary empirical breakthrough of this study demonstrates that interactive touchscreen finger painting significantly optimizes early childhood fine motor skills, a finding that challenges traditional assumptions regarding the absolute necessity of physical mediums in early childhood physical development. The findings can be interpreted through the lens of affordance theory (Chong & Proctor, 2020), which posits that the physical characteristics of an interface shape the action possibilities available to users and, consequently, influence how they interact with it.

Conventional art platforms offer tactile affordances characterized by variable surface friction, uneven pigment thickness, and high resistance. While these physical attributes are beneficial for advanced motor control, the baseline diagnostics demonstrated that these complex variables easily overwhelmed the underdeveloped muscle groups of young children. This physical overload aligns with the observations of Agustinawati & Suparno (2025), who noted that traditional tactile media often cause premature muscular fatigue due to unpredictable mechanical drag.

The capacitive touchscreen alters this interaction by providing a smooth, uniform, and highly responsive surface that enables consistent touch input. Nam et al. (2021) and Brucker et al. (2021) reported that capacitive touchscreens provide accurate and stable touch detection, while recent reviews emphasize that the educational benefits of touchscreen technologies depend on well-designed digital activities and appropriate adult guidance rather than the technology alone (Taherian Kalati & Kim, 2022). Consequently, the predictable, low-friction interaction afforded by capacitive touchscreens may reduce unnecessary variability during touch input, allowing children to devote greater attention to controlled finger movements and task execution.

This structural stabilization provides a plausible explanation for the substantial improvement in haptic pressure regulation observed in the present study. From a biomechanical perspective, precise manual control depends on the regulation of fingertip forces and the mechanical interaction between the finger and the contacted surface (Delhaye et al., 2021). Unlike conventional painting media, which involve variable surface resistance and changing frictional conditions, capacitive touchscreens provide a smooth and mechanically consistent interaction surface (Nam et al., 2021). This stable contact environment may reduce variability in finger–surface interaction, allowing children to allocate greater attention to controlling finger trajectories and touch pressure during task execution.

This structural stabilization provides a plausible explanation for the substantial improvement in haptic pressure regulation observed in the present study. Consistent with contemporary theories of sensorimotor control, accurate movement depends on continuous integration of sensory feedback with motor commands to refine internal models of action (Almani et al., 2026; Lam et al., 2026). Compared with conventional art materials, capacitive touchscreens provide a smooth and mechanically consistent interaction surface, reducing variability in finger–surface contact and enabling more stable touch input. Such predictable interaction conditions may facilitate more precise regulation of finger pressure and movement trajectories during repeated touch-based tasks.

This finding extends the traditional view that finger painting promotes sensory and fine motor development by demonstrating that similar developmental benefits can also be achieved through well-designed touchscreen environments. Rather than diminishing opportunities for motor practice, the digital canvas provides a consistent touch interface that may facilitate more precise finger control and movement regulation during artistic activities (Clark et al., 2021; Taherian Kalati & Kim, 2022).

Recent studies acknowledge that touchscreen interaction provides a sensorimotor experience that differs from traditional art materials, as digital interfaces offer distinct affordances and interaction patterns compared with physical media (Ziemer et al., 2021). Although physical materials remain valuable for rich multisensory exploration, systematic reviews indicate that touchscreen environments can effectively support young children's learning when activities are developmentally appropriate and accompanied by pedagogical scaffolding (Taherian Kalati & Kim, 2022). For children with emerging fine motor skills, the consistent interaction characteristics of capacitive touchscreens may reduce unnecessary variability during task execution, allowing greater attention to be directed toward finger control and movement accuracy. These findings suggest that digital media should not be viewed as replacements for traditional art experiences but as complementary tools that can provide developmentally appropriate support during early stages of fine motor learning (Clark et al., 2021).

By providing a predictable and developmentally appropriate interaction environment, touchscreen technology can serve as a supportive platform for early fine motor practice. Systematic reviews indicate that digital learning environments are most effective when their physical and pedagogical demands are aligned with children's developmental abilities and supported through guided interaction (Guellai et al., 2022; Skene et al., 2022). Rather than replacing traditional art materials, touchscreen-based activities may complement them by offering consistent opportunities for repeated hand–eye coordination and controlled finger movements before children engage with more variable physical media. These findings extend traditional perspectives on early childhood motor development by suggesting that interactive digital technologies can function as developmentally appropriate tools for supporting fine motor learning.

4.2. Visual-Motor Integration and Spatial Boundary Control in Digital Mediums

The striking quantitative terminal mean score of 3.60 achieved in Visual-Motor Integration, coupled with the maximal net gain of +1.80 in Spatial Boundary Control, demands a critical socio-cognitive evaluation. Visual–motor integration depends on the continuous coordination of visual perception with motor execution through ongoing sensory feedback. Contemporary research demonstrates that real-time visual feedback facilitates online movement correction and improves movement accuracy during visuomotor tasks (Lam et al., 2026; Márquez et al., 2024). In contrast to conventional drawing media, touchscreen interfaces provide direct and consistent interaction between finger movements and visual responses, enabling children to receive immediate visual consequences of their actions (Ziemer et al., 2021). Such characteristics may support more efficient calibration of movement trajectories and spatial boundary control during repeated drawing activities, thereby facilitating the development of visual–motor integration in young learners.

This interactive feedback loop provides a robust explanation for the rapid acceleration of spatial accuracy observed across the intervention cycles. According to contemporary neuro-physiological evidence of visuomotor integration (Marano et al., 2025), early manual and graphic control is deeply contingent upon immediate sensory feedback; if this feedback loop is delayed or ambiguous, the motor learning cycle breaks down. The touchscreen application effectively bypasses these constraints by providing a continuous flow of non-delayed visual feedback. When a child's finger moves across the glass, the screen generates a high-contrast digital line in real time, allowing for immediate visual tracking. Recent empirical data confirms that this immediate digital response serves as an active calibration mechanism, directly helping children adjust their manual speed and trajectory on the fly (Operto et al., 2023). This real-time adjustment explains the massive growth in Spatial Boundary Control. When a child's finger threatens to overshoot a digital margin, the sudden change in pixel color at the boundary acts as an immediate visual signal. This clear visual marker prompts the child to make an instant physical adjustment, effectively preventing the spatial disorganization that typically occurs when children use unguided crayons on paper.

Furthermore, this digital perimeter alignment significantly reduces the cognitive load associated with early drawing tasks. In conventional coloring exercises, an accidental line drawn outside the boundaries results in a permanent error. This uncorrectable error often creates a high-friction frustration loop that disrupts concentration, an obstacle highlighted by Martzog & Suggate (2022) as a primary cause of low task completion rates in young children. The digital canvas removes this emotional and cognitive barrier by employing smart-vector borders that automatically confine digital paint within designated shapes. Such automated boundaries function as a form of digital scaffolding, allowing children to focus on essential motor planning and hand control rather than on maintaining perfect spatial accuracy. This interpretation is consistent with Sysoev et al. (2022), who demonstrated that automatic scaffolding in children's digital learning environments reduces task complexity while supporting autonomous engagement and learning. Moreover, reducing unnecessary cognitive demands enables children to devote greater attentional resources to fine motor coordination and visual-motor integration, supporting the broader evidence on executive function development in digital environments reported by Veraksa et al. (2022).

This empirical success requires a modern re-evaluation of classical early childhood art theories. While Nisa & Purnomo (2026) emphasized that unstructured artistic play is vital for confidence, early frameworks rarely considered how structured software interactions could actively guide physical development. This study addresses that gap by proving that digital boundaries do not restrict artistic expression. Instead, they serve as a dynamic training grid that accelerates physical coordination. This structured digital interaction is supported by the work of Newell & Rovegno (2021) as well as C. Gao et al. (2024), who demonstrated that innovative educational tools can optimize motor development by keeping children deeply engaged.

However, this study extends those findings by showing that the visual feedback of the touchscreen serves as a powerful corrective tool. As F. Wang et al. (2021) argue, interactive media provides a distinct learning advantage over passive media because it responds directly to the physical inputs of the child. When a child finger changes direction on the glass, the digital canvas updates instantly, reinforcing the connection between the intent of the child and the physical outcome. This highly responsive loop ensures that the child remains focused and motivated throughout the drawing task. By turning error correction into a positive, interactive game, the touchscreen framework successfully transforms boundary control from a source of frustration into an engaging learning experience, providing early childhood educators with a powerful tool for accelerating visual-motor integration.

4.3. The Psychological Scaffolding: Error Reduction and Sustained Engagement

The dramatic developmental surge documented during Cycle II, where the classical mastery rate successfully reached 80%, cannot be attributed solely to biomechanical calibration. Instead, this quantitative milestone must be evaluated through the psychological dynamics of digital interaction, particularly how the interface alters the emotional response of a child to failure. In conventional motor training, errors are physically permanent, which often leads to immediate frustration loops. Vygotsky emphasized that effective pedagogical scaffolding must operate within the zone of proximal development (Wibowo et al., 2025) by mitigating excessive emotional friction that hinders task persistence.

Barr & Kirkorian (2023) expand on this by stating that guided digital interaction can protect young learners from the cognitive overload associated with early learning failures. The touchscreen finger painting environment achieves this emotional mitigation by utilizing specific software mechanisms, such as immediate undo and redo functions. Tenenbaum & Van Herwegen (2024) notes that such digital design features fundamentally redefine the conceptual nature of mistakes, transforming an uncorrectable failure into a temporary, easily modifiable action that encourages continuous trial and error.

By eliminating the physical permanence of errors, the digital canvas systematically dismantles the frustration cycles that typically cause early childhood students to abandon fine motor tasks. Booton et al. (2023), Yeung & Ng (2023), and Ukenova & Bekmanova (2023) argue that for digital tools to be truly educational, they must foster active, minds-on engagement rather than passive entertainment. The non-threatening nature of the digital canvas encourages children to engage in continuous exploration without the fear of ruining their work. When a child finger slips outside a designated margin, the ability to wipe out the mistake with a single tap prevents the accumulation of task-induced anxiety.

According to Self-Determination Theory (Chiu, 2022; Wu et al., 2022), a sense of competence is a vital driver of intrinsic motivation. Hwang & Kang (2023) and Liao et al. (2024) observe that interactive app feedback loops that reward effort rather than penalize errors reinforce this sense of competence. By giving young learners immediate mastery over their errors, the interface supports their perceived competence, which directly explains why the children maintained high levels of focus throughout the 30-minute autonomous drawing sessions.

This psychological safety net directly facilitates the physical repetition necessary for long-term motor skill acquisition. Muscular memory and neuro-pedagogical adaptation rely heavily on sustained task engagement, as repetitive neural activation accelerates the myelination of motor pathways. Outhwaite & Van Herwegen (2023) and Evans et al. (2024) observed that interactive educational applications optimize learning efficiency by reducing cognitive fatigue and emotional friction.

When children are freed from the stress of permanent mistakes, they allocate more mental energy toward controlling their hand movements and visual tracking, an optimization pattern supported by the early childhood tech integration frameworks of Paul et al. (2023) and Howard et al. (2026). Hollenstein & Vogt (2024) and Wilson et al. (2024) point out that structured, guided play environments allow children to discover physical boundaries naturally. The constant interaction loop between the intent of the child, the instant digital correction, and the positive visual reinforcement creates a highly productive learning environment where physical practice becomes enjoyable rather than stressful.

These insights significantly extend the local frameworks established by Mallawaarachchi et al. (2023) as well as Amaefule et al. (2023) regarding the implementation of innovative media in early childhood pedagogy. While their earlier studies successfully identified that creative play increases general student interest, they did not isolate the specific psychological mechanisms through which user interface design influences physical stamina. This study addresses that gap by proving that the specific features of digital applications act as an emotional stabilizer.

Loudoun et al. (2023) and Scott et al. (2023) notes that technological play frameworks must account for how children negotiate control within digital spaces. The interactive tablet layout provides a safe space for experimentation, which helps children build the resilience needed to tackle complex motor challenges. By blending emotional reassurance with instant visual feedback, the touchscreen interposition successfully transforms physical coordination from a rigid classroom requirement into an engaging, self-directed learning journey, offering a powerful blueprint for modern early childhood technology integration.

4.4. Pedagogical Implications, Limitations, and Future Research Trajectories

The empirical evidence established in this study carries significant pedagogical implications for modern early childhood curricula, specifically regarding the structural optimization of digital technology. For decades, early childhood educational policies have been dominated by generalized anxieties surrounding screen time, often leading to restrictive rules that alienate young learners from digital advancements. This research provides a workable framework to counter this defensive posture, demonstrating that capacitive mobile interfaces can be systematically transformed from passive entertainment tools into active instruments for physical development. Early childhood educators should utilize these insights to redesign digital play activities, shifting the instructional focus from entertainment to deliberate visual-motor training. By structuring application interactions around clear spatial constraints and immediate error-correction mechanisms, teachers can effectively scaffold fine motor development, aligning classroom practices with the meaningful technology integration models advocated by Paul et al. (2023) and Wilson et al. (2024).

Despite the clear developmental gains recorded across the intervention phases, several distinct limitations must be acknowledged to contextualize the findings. First, the action research design was bound to a small sample size of 20 children within a single educational institution, a constraint that limits the immediate statistical generalizability of the quantitative outcomes to broader, more diverse populations. Second, as noted by Muilwijk & Lazonder (2023), the methodological nature of action research prioritizes localized problem-solving over strict experimental control, meaning that external developmental variables outside the classroom setting were not fully isolated. Furthermore, the uniform glass substrate of capacitive tablets introduces a notable material constraint because it lacks the rich haptic resistances provided by traditional artistic media such as clay, sand, or oil pastels. Recent reviews on haptic interaction similarly conclude that conventional touchscreens cannot reproduce the force, texture, and tactile feedback necessary for developing fine finger strength and dexterous object manipulation (Tong et al., 2023; van Wegen et al., 2023).

These identified limitations highlight several important avenues for future research trajectories within early childhood physical pedagogy. Subsequent investigations should transition from localized action studies toward large-scale randomized controlled trials to validate the efficacy of touchscreen motor scaffolding across diverse socio-economic cohorts. Longitudinal research tracking is also highly necessary to determine whether the manual dexterity skills acquired through digital interfaces are permanently retained and successfully transferred to conventional paper tasks such as handwriting and scissor handling. Moreover, future researchers should explore hybrid pedagogical ecosystems that systematically blend physical tactile materials with digital applications, perhaps through the use of tangible user interfaces and smart stylus tools. By investigating these multi-dimensional technological configurations, the educational community can continue to build a more balanced, scientifically grounded approach to early childhood technology integration that supports both cognitive and physical growth.

5. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that structured touchscreen finger painting serves as a highly effective pedagogical intervention to optimize early childhood fine motor skills. The iterative action research framework confirmed a robust upward trajectory in manual dexterity, where the classical mastery rate encompassing the combined universal competencies of Level 3 (Proficient) and Level 4 (Advanced) advanced significantly from 65% in Cycle I to 80% by the conclusion of Cycle II. This physical progression was characterized by substantial granular improvements in haptic pressure regulation and spatial boundary control. The empirical evidence proves that the smooth glass substrate of capacitive tablets coupled with real-time vector visual feedback can systematically calibrate micro-muscular coordination in young learners.

Beyond the statistical outcomes, the core contribution of this research lies in its theoretical reframing of early childhood digital interactions. By demonstrating that the uniform surface texture and immediate feedback loops of touchscreen devices minimize physical fatigue and cognitive frustration, this study successfully shifts the academic paradigm away from viewing mobile devices as purely passive distractions. Instead, it validates interactive technology as an active instrument for physical pedagogy that can safely scaffold manual precision and hand-eye coordination before children transition to high-resistance traditional learning tools.

In conclusion, digitizing the canvas opens up innovative, clean, and highly adaptive pathways for modern early childhood curricula, provided that technology is integrated with deliberate physical goals rather than as mere passive entertainment. Early childhood institutions should confidently incorporate structured touchscreen art sessions into their physical development programs. Future research trajectories must now expand upon these findings by utilizing larger randomized controlled groups and exploring hybrid educational ecosystems that harmoniously combine digital touch interfaces with traditional tactile materials to ensure comprehensive, long-term physical growth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to the principal, teachers, and all staff members of TK Pelangi Tunggulpayung, Lelea District, for their permission, support, and assistance during the research process. The author also extends appreciation to the academic supervisor for the valuable guidance, suggestions, and support that contributed to the completion of this study. In addition, the author would like to thank all Group A children who actively participated in this research, enabling the study to be conducted successfully and smoothly.

REFERENCES

Agustinawati, S., & Suparno, S. (2025). Improving Fine Motor Skills through the Finger Painting Method for Children with Mild Intellectual Disabilities. *Journal of Innovation and Research in Primary Education*, *4*(4), 3368–3377. https://doi.org/10.56916/jirpe.v4i4.2266

Alam, M., Hickie, I. B., Poulsen, A., Ekambareshwar, M., Loblay, V., Crouse, J., Hindmarsh, G., Song, Y. J. C., Yoon, A., Cha, G., Wilson, C., Sweeney-Nash, M., Troy, J., & LaMonica, H. M. (2023). Parenting app to support socio-emotional and cognitive development in early childhood: iterative codesign learnings from nine low-income and middle-income countries. *BMJ Open*, *13*(5), e071232. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-071232

Almani, M. N., Lazzari, J., Walker, J. D., & Saxena, S. (2026). Embodied Sensorimotor Control: Computational Modeling of the Neural Control of Movement. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*, *28*(1), 415–443. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-102723-020454

Amaefule, C. O., Breitwieser, J., Biedermann, D., Nobbe, L., Drachsler, H., & Brod, G. (2023). Fostering children's acceptance of educational apps: The importance of designing enjoyable learning activities. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(5), 1351–1372. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13314

Arifah, I. I., Fajri, F. N., & Pratamasunu, G. Q. O. (2022). Deteksi Tangan Otomatis Pada Video Percakapan Bahasa Isyarat Indonesia Menggunakan Metode YOLO Dan CNN. *Journal of Applied Informatics and Computing*, *6*(2), 171–176. https://doi.org/10.30871/jaic.v6i2.4694

Barr, R., & Kirkorian, H. (2023). Reexamining models of early learning in the digital age: Applications for learning in the wild. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, *12*(4), 457–472. https://doi.org/10.1037/mac0000132

Booton, S. A., Kolancali, P., & Murphy, V. A. (2023). Touchscreen apps for child creativity: An evaluation of creativity apps designed for young children. *Computers & Education*, *201*, 104811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104811

Brucker, B., Brömme, R., Ehrmann, A., Edelmann, J., & Gerjets, P. (2021). Touching digital objects directly on multi-touch devices fosters learning about visual contents. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *119*, 106708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106708

Chen, C.-H., Law, V., & Huang, K. (2023). Adaptive scaffolding and engagement in digital game-based learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *71*(4), 1785–1798. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10244-x

Chen, J., Teo, E. H. T., & Yao, K. (2023). Electromechanical Actuators for Haptic Feedback with Fingertip Contact. *Actuators*, *12*(3), 104. https://doi.org/10.3390/act12030104

Chiu, T. K. F. (2022). Applying the self-determination theory (SDT) to explain student engagement in online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *54*(sup1). https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2021.1891998

Chong, I., & Proctor, R. W. (2020). On the Evolution of a Radical Concept: Affordances According to Gibson and Their Subsequent Use and Development. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *15*(1), 117–132. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619868207

Clark, C. C. T., Bisi, M. C., Duncan, M. J., & Stagni, R. (2021). Technology-based methods for the assessment of fine and gross motor skill in children: A systematic overview of available solutions and future steps for effective in-field use. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *39*(11), 1236–1276. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1864984

Clemente-Suárez, V. J., Beltrán-Velasco, A. I., Herrero-Roldán, S., Rodriguez-Besteiro, S., Martínez-Guardado, I., Martín-Rodríguez, A., & Tornero-Aguilera, J. F. (2024). Digital Device Usage and Childhood Cognitive Development: Exploring Effects on Cognitive Abilities. *Children*, *11*(11), 1299. https://doi.org/10.3390/children11111299

Crandall, R., & Karadoğan, E. (2021). Designing Pedagogically Effective Haptic Systems for Learning: A Review. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(14), 6245. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11146245

Delhaye, B. P., Schiltz, F., Barrea, A., Thonnard, J.-L., & Lefèvre, P. (2021). Measuring fingerpad deformation during active object manipulation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *126*(4), 1455–1464. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00358.2021

Evans, P., Vansteenkiste, M., Parker, P., Kingsford-Smith, A., & Zhou, S. (2024). Cognitive Load Theory and Its Relationships with Motivation: a Self-Determination Theory Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review*, *36*(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09841-2

Gao, C., Wang, F., & Danovitch, J. H. (2024). Can touchscreens replace teachers? Chinese children's character learning from a touchscreen-based app, video, or face-to-face instruction. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *244*, 105961. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2024.105961

Gao, J., Song, W., Zhong, Y., Huang, D., Wang, J., Zhang, A., & Ke, X. (2024). Children with developmental coordination disorders: a review of approaches to assessment and intervention. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *15*, 1359955. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1359955

Goizueta, S., Navarro, M. D., Calvo, G., Campos, G., Colomer, C., Noé, E., & Llorens, R. (2025). Touchscreen-based assessment of upper limb kinematics after stroke: Reliability, validity and sensitivity to motor impairment. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, *22*(1), 27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-025-01563-6

Guellai, B., Somogyi, E., Esseily, R., & Chopin, A. (2022). Effects of screen exposure on young children's cognitive development: A review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.923370

Hollenstein, L., & Vogt, F. (2024). Digital education through guided pretend play. *Learning and Instruction*, *93*, 101945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.101945

Howard, S. J., Lewis, K., Day, N., Peach, L., & Kervin, L. K. (2026). A framework for characterising and capturing the quality of digital interactions and experiences in early childhood education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.70063

Hwang, D., & Kang, Y. (2023). How Does Constructive Feedback in an Educational Game Sound to Children? *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, *36*, 100581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2023.100581

Lam, A., Kabbara, S., Carstens, K., Mol, W., Goel, A., Yang, H., & Zagha, E. (2026). Sensory-to-motor transformations: From serial pipelines to dynamic, distributed processes. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *186*, 106692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2026.106692

Lelong, M., Zysset, A., Nievergelt, M., Luder, R., Götz, U., Schulze, C., & Wieber, F. (2021). How effective is fine motor training in children with ADHD? A scoping review. *BMC Pediatrics*, *21*(1), 490. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-021-02916-5

Liao, M., Zhu, K., & Wang, G. (2024). Can human-machine feedback in a smart learning environment enhance learners' learning performance? A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1288503. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1288503

Liu, C., & Hwang, G.-J. (2023). Roles and research trends of touchscreen mobile devices in early childhood education: review of journal publications from 2010 to 2019 based on the technology-enhanced learning model. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(3), 1683–1702. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1855210

Liu, T., Gomez, G., & Shipman, F. M. (2025). Investigating Children's Multimodal Enactment in Digitally Augmented Tabletop Storytelling. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, *46*, 100770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2025.100770

Lo, H.-C., & Wang, T.-H. (2024). A Study on the Design of Embedded Visual Image Teaching Aids to Assist Young Children's Cognitive and Fine Motor Development. *Journal of Intelligence*, *12*(10), 102. https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence12100102

Loudoun, F. M., Boyle, B., & Larsson-Lund, M. (2023). Making choices in digital play spaces: Children's experiences. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *30*(8), 1460–1471. https://doi.org/10.1080/11038128.2023.2271050

Makhafola, L., van Deventer, M. J., Holmner, M. A., & van Wyk, B. (2025). A scoping review of digital literacy, digital competence, digital fluency and digital dexterity in academic libraries' context. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, *51*(3), 103053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2025.103053

Mallawaarachchi, S. R., Tieppo, A., Hooley, M., & Horwood, S. (2023). Persuasive design-related motivators, ability factors and prompts in early childhood apps: A content analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *139*, 107492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107492

Marano, G., Kotzalidis, G. D., Lisci, F. M., Anesini, M. B., Rossi, S., Barbonetti, S., Cangini, A., Ronsisvalle, A., Artuso, L., Falsini, C., Caso, R., Mandracchia, G., Brisi, C., Traversi, G., Mazza, O., Pola, R., Sani, G., Mercuri, E. M., Gaetani, E., & Mazza, M. (2025). The Neuroscience Behind Writing: Handwriting vs. Typing—Who Wins the Battle? *Life*, *15*(3), 345. https://doi.org/10.3390/life15030345

Márquez, I., Lemus, L., & Treviño, M. (2024). A continuum from predictive to online feedback in visuomotor interception. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *60*(12), 7211–7227. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.16628

Marta, G. D. V., & Shofwan, I. (2025). Management of Finger Painting Learning in Fostering and Developing Fine Motor Stimulation in Children Aged 2-4 Years in the Jungle School Nature Play Group. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, *42*(2), 216–222. https://doi.org/10.15294/jpp.v42i2.30501

Martzog, P., & Suggate, S. P. (2022). Screen media are associated with fine motor skill development in preschool children. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, *60*, 363–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2022.03.010

Masoumi, D., Bourbour, M., & Lindqvist, G. (2024). Mapping Children's Actions in the Scaffolding Process Using Interactive Whiteboard. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, *52*(6), 1209–1220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-023-01510-x

Muilwijk, S. E., & Lazonder, A. W. (2023). Learning from physical and virtual investigation: A meta-analysis of conceptual knowledge acquisition. *Frontiers in Education*, *8*, 1163024. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.1163024

Nam, H., Seol, K.-H., Lee, J., Cho, H., & Jung, S. W. (2021). Review of Capacitive Touchscreen Technologies: Overview, Research Trends, and Machine Learning Approaches. *Sensors*, *21*(14), 4776. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21144776

Newell, K. M., & Rovegno, I. (2021). Teaching Children's Motor Skills for Team Games Through Guided Discovery: How Constraints Enhance Learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.724848

Nisa, W. Z., & Purnomo, H. (2026). Coloring as meaning-making: Exploring developmental dimensions in early childhood art activities. *Al-Athfaal: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini*, *9*(1), 82–92. https://doi.org/10.24042/al-athfaal.v91.30808

Operto, F. F., Viggiano, A., Perfetto, A., Citro, G., Olivieri, M., Simone, V. de, Bonuccelli, A., Orsini, A., Aiello, S., Coppola, G., & Pastorino, G. M. G. (2023). Digital Devices Use and Fine Motor Skills in Children between 3–6 Years. *Children*, *10*(6), 960. https://doi.org/10.3390/children10060960

Outhwaite, L. A., & Van Herwegen, J. (2023). Educational apps and learning: Current evidence on design and evaluation. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(5), 1268–1272. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13360

Panjeti-Madan, V. N., & Ranganathan, P. (2023). Impact of Screen Time on Children's Development: Cognitive, Language, Physical, and Social and Emotional Domains. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, *7*(5), 52. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti7050052

Paul, C. D., Hansen, S. G., Marelle, C., & Wright, M. (2023). Incorporating Technology into Instruction in Early Childhood Classrooms: a Systematic Review. *Advances in Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, *7*(3), 380–391. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41252-023-00316-7

Pérez-Fabello, M. J., & Campos, A. (2023). Influence of spatial imagery and imagery control on geometric form location in paintings. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *48*, 101298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101298

Priftis, N., & Panagiotakos, D. (2023). Screen Time and Its Health Consequences in Children and Adolescents. *Children*, *10*(10), 1665. https://doi.org/10.3390/children10101665

Samuelsson, R., Price, S., & Jewitt, C. (2022). How pedagogical relations in early years settings are reconfigured by interactive touchscreens. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *53*(1), 58–76.

https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13152

Scott, F., Marsh, J., Murris, K., Ng'ambi, D., Thomsen, B. S., Bannister, C., Bishop, J., Dixon, K., Giorza, T., Hetherington, A., Lawrence, C., Nutbrown, B., Parry, B., Peers, J., & Scholey, E. (2023). An ecological perspective on children's play with digital technologies in South Africa and the United Kingdom. *International Journal of Play*, *12*(3), 349–374. https://doi.org/10.1080/21594937.2023.2235466

Sepp, S., Tindall-Ford, S., Agostinho, S., & Paas, F. (2024). Capturing Movement: A Tablet App, Geometry Touch , for Recording Onscreen Finger-Based Gesture Data. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, *17*, 73–83. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3246507

Shanmugasundaram, M., & Tamilarasu, A. (2023). The impact of digital technology, social media, and artificial intelligence on cognitive functions: a review. *Frontiers in Cognition*, *2*, 1203077. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcogn.2023.1203077

Shorouk, A., Vasugi Govindarajoo, M., Benlahcene, A., Teshome Taddese, E., & Santhira Segaran, V. (2025). Fine motor skills performance among Singaporean kindergarten students. *Cogent Education*, *12*(1), 2451506. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2451506

Skene, K., O'Farrelly, C. M., Byrne, E. M., Kirby, N., Stevens, E. C., & Ramchandani, P. G. (2022). Can guidance during play enhance children's learning and development in educational contexts? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child Development*, *93*(4), 1162–1180. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13730

Sutapa, P., Pratama, K. W., Rosly, M. M., Ali, S. K. S., & Karakauki, M. (2021). Improving Motor Skills in Early Childhood through Goal-Oriented Play Activity. *Children*, *8*(11), 994. https://doi.org/10.3390/children8110994

Syarifudin, A., & Muttaqin, M. A. (2025). Tech-Supported Strategic Management, Digital Leadership, and Play-Based Interactive Learning: A Multilevel Survey of Quality Improvement in Early Childhood Education. *International Journal of Educational Qualitative Quantitative Research*, *4*(1), 47–60. https://doi.org/10.58418/ijeqqr.v4i1.142

Sysoev, I., Gray, J. H., Fine, S., Makini, S. P., & Roy, D. (2022). Child-driven, machine-guided: Automatic scaffolding of constructionist-inspired early literacy play. *Computers & Education*, *182*, 104434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104434

Taherian Kalati, A., & Kim, M. S. (2022). What is the effect of touchscreen technology on young children's learning?: A systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(5), 6893–6911. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10816-5

Taheryan, A., & Song, K. M. (2026). The Impact of Touchscreens on Early Learning: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, *40*(2), 303–326. https://doi.org/10.1080/02568543.2025.2455634

Tenenbaum, H. R., & Van Herwegen, J. (2024). Young children's science learning from a touchscreen app. *International Journal of Early Years Education*, *32*(2), 503–519. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669760.2023.2259422

Tong, Q., Wei, W., Zhang, Y., Xiao, J., & Wang, D. (2023). Survey on Hand-Based Haptic Interaction for Virtual Reality. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics*, *16*(2), 154–170. https://doi.org/10.1109/TOH.2023.3266199

Ukenova, A., & Bekmanova, G. (2023). A review of intelligent interactive learning methods. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, *5*, 1141649. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2023.1141649

Usman, A. H., & Mahmud, A. F. (2024). Addressing Low Speaking Proficiency in EFL Students: The Impact of Integrated Teaching Strategies in an Islamic Education Setting. *International Journal of Language Education*, *8*(3), 503–519. https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v8i3.66493

van Wegen, M., Herder, J. L., Adelsberger, R., Pastore-Wapp, M., van Wegen, E. E. H., Bohlhalter, S., Nef, T., Krack, P., & Vanbellingen, T. (2023). An Overview of Wearable Haptic Technologies and Their Performance in Virtual Object Exploration. *Sensors*, *23*(3), 1563. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031563

Veraksa, N. E., Veraksa, A. N., Bukhalenkova, D. A., & Säljö, R. (2022). Exploring the development of executive functions in children in a digital world. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, *37*(4), 1035–1050. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-021-00584-8

Viviani, L., Liso, A., & Craighero, L. (2025). Mobile Typing as a Window into Sensorimotor and Cognitive Function. *Brain Sciences*, *15*(10), 1084. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci15101084

Wang, F., Gao, C., Kaufman, J., Tong, Y., & Chen, J. (2021). Watching versus touching: The effectiveness of a touchscreen app to teach children to tell time. *Computers & Education*, *160*, 104021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104021

Wang, K., Li, Y., Liu, H., Zhang, T., & Luo, J. (2024). Can physical activity counteract the negative effects of sedentary behavior on the physical and mental health of children and adolescents? A narrative review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *12*, 1412389. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1412389

Wibowo, S., Wangid, M. N., & Firdaus, F. M. (2025). The relevance of Vygotsky's constructivism learning theory with the differentiated learning primary schools. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, *19*(1), 431–440. https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v19i1.21197

Wilson, S., Murcia, K., Cross, E., & Lowe, G. (2024). Digital technologies and the early childhood sector: are we fostering digital capabilities and agency in young children? *The Australian Educational Researcher*, *51*(4), 1425–1443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-023-00647-3

Wu, R., Feng, S., Quan, H., Zhang, Y., Fu, R., & Li, H. (2022). Effect of Self-Determination Theory on Knowledge, Treatment Adherence, and Self-Management of Patients with Maintenance Hemodialysis. *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*, *2022*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1416404

Wuang, Y.-P., Huang, C.-L., & Wu, C.-S. (2022). Haptic Perception Training Programs on Fine Motor Control in Adolescents with Developmental Coordination Disorder: A Preliminary Study. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, *11*(16), 4755. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11164755

Yeung, W.-L., & Ng, O.-L. (2023). Characterizing touchscreen actions in technology-enhanced embodied learning for mathematics instruction in K-12 setting – A systematic review (2010–2023). *Computers & Education*, *205*, 104881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104881

Zhang, D., Lu, B., Guo, J., He, Y., & Liu, H. (2023). Assessment of Visual Motor Integration via Hand-Drawn Imitation: A Pilot Study. *Electronics*, *12*(13), 2776. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12132776

Ziemer, C. J., Wyss, S., & Rhinehart, K. (2021). The origins of touchscreen competence: Examining infants' exploration of touchscreens. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *64*, 101609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2021.101609